ECONOMIC INVESTMENTS IN NEW RETAIL PROJECTS

PURPOSE: The key purpose for investing in these projects is to generate significant new City revenues, especially where a high percentage of those revenues come from outside the City.

ACTUALS TO DATE

20 YEAR PROJECTIONS

(A)	(B) PROJECT/	(C) AUTHORIZED MAX. CITY	(D) DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT	(E) CURRENT CONTRACT	(F) TOTAL CITY INVESTMENT	(G) TOTAL NEW CITY REVENUES -	(H) INCENTIVE COST AS % REVENUES	(I) EST. TOTAL CITY INVESTMENT	(J) EST TOTAL NEW CITY REVENUES	(K) INCENTIVE COST AS % REVENUES	NOTES
<u>DATE</u>	<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>INVESTMENT</u>	<u>CONTRACT TERMS</u>	<u>STATUS</u>	TO DATE	ACTUAL TO DATE	ACTUAL TO DATE	OVER 20 YRS.	OVER 20 YEARS	20 YEAR PROJ.	
6/87	Price Club/Costco Hayden/83 rd	\$105,967	Cash upfront from General Fund contingency	Fully paid off 10/87	\$105,967	\$22,050,000 *	0.5%	\$105,967	\$25,900,000 *	0.4%	City's first investment Only one paid upfront
12/93-	Scottsdale Fiesta -	\$2,700,000	Rebate of 50% of 1.0%	Fully paid	\$2,318,700	\$15,043,000	15.4%	\$2,318,700	\$31,515,000	7.4%	Excludes grocery store
5/95	SEC Pima/Shea		General Fund sales tax	off 5/00							
			for a maximum of 10 years								
4/94	Scottsdale Towne Center NEC Pima/FLW	\$329,000	Rebate of 25% of 1.0% General Fund sales tax for a maximum of 7 years	Fully paid off 2/98	\$329,000	\$10,306,000	3.2%	\$329,000	\$21,591,000	1.5%	
7/94	Pima Crossings Center NWC Pima/Shea	\$100,000	Rebate of 50% of 1.0% General Fund sales tax for a maximum of 2 years	Fully paid off 5/95	\$100,000	\$6,407,000	1.6%	\$100,000	\$13,423,000	0.7%	
2/96-	North Scottsdale	\$2,522,000	Rebate of 50% of 1.0%	Contract complete	\$1,886,793	\$21,989,000	8.6%	\$1,886,793	\$68,104,000	2.8%	Construction delays at
8/98	auto dealers (6) - Hayden/FLW		General Fund sales tax for a maximum of 1-3 years	Partial Payment							two dealers resulted in only partial reimbursement
9/97	Sonora Village SWC Pima/Frank Lloyd Wright	\$108,000	Rebate of 50% of 1.0% General Fund sales tax for a maximum of 2 years	Fully paid off 12/99	\$108,000	\$2,252,000	4.8%	\$108,000	\$6,976,000	1.5%	
4/99	The Promenade SEC Scottsdale/FLW	\$5,487,000	Rebate of 50% of 1.0% General Fund sales tax for a maximum of 7 years	Expect full payoff 1/07	\$3,619,861	\$12,441,000	29.1%	\$5,487,000	\$82,260,000	6.7%	_
11/02	Lund Cadillac SEC Scts/Loop 101	\$5,600,000 + Interest	Rebate of 67% of 1.0% General Fund sales tax for a maximum of 10 years	Expected opening mid 2005	0	0	0	\$7,600,000**	\$81,333,000	9.3%	Includes obligation to keep Kachina Cadillac open 2 yrs.
	TOTALS:	\$16,951,967			\$8,468,321	\$90,488,000	9.4%	\$17,935,460	\$331,102,000	5.4%	

Notes

- (A) Date of Council authorization of development agreement
- (C) Maximum reimbursement developer can receive the reimbursement may be lower due to infrastructure construction cost savings, but in no cases may it be higher than authorized.
- (D) Developer is typically reimbursed through a sharing of future tax revenues if revenues come in faster the developer is paid off sooner, but if less than expected full reimbursement may not occur.
- (E) When the contract was completed, or is projected to be completed.
- (F) The actual amount the City has paid to the projects through June 2004.
- (G) Total new direct City revenues (actual General Fund sales, lease, and construction taxes, plus estimated property taxes) through June 2004, as provided by the City's Tax Audit Division.
- (H) Column F (actual payments made to date) divided into column G (actual revenues received to date).
- (I) The projected maximum amount the City will pay to the developers
- (J) Projections of total new direct City revenues (sales and property tax), extrapolated from current revenues, over a 20-year period.
- (K) Column I (projected total payments assumes all contracts achieve full amount authorized) divided into column H (projected total revenues over 20 years).
- * State Law prohibits releasing sales tax data from individual businesses; therefore Costco figures are projections by the E.D. office based on national sales trends at similar stores.
- ** Interest is estimated only; actuals will depend upon how long it takes to pay off investments.

ECONOMIC INVESTMENTS IN REDEVLOPMENT PROJECTS

PURPOSE: The key purpose for investing in redevelopment projects is to facilitate the redevelopment of targeted areas of the City.

						ACTUAL TO DATE		20 YEAR PROJECTIONS			
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(\mathbf{J})	(K)	NOTES
	DDO IECT/	AUTHORIZED	DEVELOPMENT	CURRENT	TOTAL CITY	TOTAL NEW	INCENTIVE COST	EST. TOTAL	EST. TOTAL NEW	INCENTIVE COST	
DATE	PROJECT/ LOCATION	MAX. CITY INVESTMENT	AGREEMENT CONTRACT TERMS	CONTRACT STATUS	INVESTMENT TO DATE	CITY REVENUES - ACTUAL TO DATE	AS % REVENUES - ACTUAL TO DATE	CITY INVESTMENT OVER 20 YRS.	CITY REVENUES OVER 20 YEARS	AS % REVENUES 20 YEAR PROJ.	
DATE	LOCATION	IIIVESTVIENT	CONTRACT TERMS	SIATUS	TODATE	ACTUAL TO DATE	ACTUAL TO DATE	OVER 20 TRS.	OVER 20 TEARS	20 ILAKIROJ.	
	Scottsdale Fashion										
	Square Mall										
	Scts./Camelback										
9/89 -	Phase 1: Neiman	\$4,000,000	Rebate of 50% of 1% General	Fully paid off 2/00							
12/90	Marcus expansion		Fund sales tax (over CPI					Φ4 000 000 («1····· 1)			
			adjusted base year) for a max. of 10 years.					\$4,000,000 (phase 1) + 28,750,000 (phase 2)			At end of lease term
			or to years.		\$13,439,814	\$89,848,000	15.0%	+ 33,250,000 (phase 2) + 33,250,000 (interest)	\$185,200,000	35.6%	City gets title to parking
10/96	Phase 2:	\$28,750,000 plus	Rebate of 90% of net new	Expect full payoff	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+ • • , • • • • • •	2010 / 0	\$66,000,000 est. total	+,,	2210,0	structure and land
	Nordstrom	interest	General Fund 1% sales tax	in 2018							
	expansion		revenues, plus interest at 9.0%								
			for a maximum of 30 years								
2/90	IMAX Theater @	\$525,000	Maximum of \$105,000/year	Contract Complete;	\$395,547	\$1,126,000	35.1%	\$395,547	\$1,126,000	35.1%	Galleria has now
2/70	Scts. Galleria	Ψ323,000	for 5 years subject to City	partial payment	Ψ373,317	Ψ1,120,000	33.170	Ψ373,317	ψ1,120,000	33.170	converted to an office
			receiving at least that amount	only.							complex
			of 1% Gen. Fund sales tax	IMAX closed in							
10/02	G	Φ. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	revenues	1999	0	0		фо. 2 00 000 di	\$12.454.000	(1.60)	au.
10/03	Scottsdale Waterfront – SWC	\$5,350,000 in total investment;	Rebate of 90% of General	Project under	0	0	0	\$8,290,000 *	\$13,464,000	61.6%	City receives
	Scottsdale/	\$3,150,000	Fund sales tax, plus interest at 7.5%, for up to 20 years, for	construction; estimated opening							permanent parking easement plus 4,000
	Camelback	repaid w/ interest	up to \$3,150,000. Plus waiver	of phase 1 in late							sq.ft. space and other
		from future		2005.							infrastructure
		revenues	City obligation to pay for up								improvements.
			to \$1,700,000 in infrastructure								
7/04	ASU Center for	\$87.0 million	City acquired former Los	Demolition has	0	0	0				City owns land.
7/04	New Technology	(plus debt	Arcos site for \$42 mil. and	begun; first bldg. is	(note: City has	U	U	\$42,000,000 (land)	\$267.0 million	46.8%	City Owns fand.
	and Innovation	service costs)	leased to ASU. City also	expected to be	purchased land,			+ 45,000,000 (infra.)	720,10 mmon	1010 / 0	
	(former Los Arcos	,	responsible for up to \$45 mil.	completed by 2006.	but will be			+ <u>38,000,000</u> (debt ser.)			
	Mall site) **		in infrastructure costs. Est.		reimbursed by			\$125,000,000 est. total			
	mom + x c	φ4 .4.4 .4. 2. 0.00	debt service on bonds \$38 mil.		bond issuance)	фоо о ж 4 ооо	48.607	φ400 co= =4=	Φ4.CC 2 00 000	40.007	
	TOTALS:	\$121,625,000			\$13,835,361	\$90,974,000	15.2%	\$199,685,547	\$466,790,000	42.8%	

Notes

- (A) Date of Council authorization of development agreement
- (C) Maximum reimbursement developer can receive the reimbursement may be lower due to infrastructure construction cost savings, but in no cases may it be higher than authorized.
- (D) Developer is typically reimbursed through a sharing of future tax revenues if revenues come in faster the developer is paid off sooner, but if less than expected full reimbursement may not occur.
- (E) When the contract was completed, or is projected to be completed.
- (F) The actual amount the City has paid to the project through June 2004.
- (G) Total new direct City revenues (actual General Fund sales, lease, and construction taxes, plus estimated property taxes) through June 2004, as provided by the City's Tax Audit Division.
- (H) Column F (actual payments made to date) divided into column G (actual revenues received to date).
- (I) The projected maximum amount the City will pay to the developers
- (J) Projections of total new direct City revenues (sales and property), extrapolated from current revenues, over a 20 year period.
- (K) Column I (projected total payments assumes all contracts achieve full amount authorized) divided into column H (projected total revenues over 20 years).
- * Interest is estimated only; actuals will depend on how long it takes to pay off investments.
- ** All ASU Center costs and revenues are projected over a 30 year basis, due to a 30 year amortization schedule on the debt service.

ECONOMIC INVESTMENTS IN NEW EMPLOYMENT PROJECTS

PURPOSE: The key purpose for investing in new employers is to meet economic development goals of insuring high quality jobs for the residents of Scottsdale.

					ACTUAL TO DATE			20 Y			
(A) <u>DATE</u>	(B) PROJECT/ LOCATION	(C) POTENTIAL MAX. CITY INVESTMENT	(D) DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT CONTRACT TERMS	(E) CURRENT CONTRACT <u>STATUS</u>	(F) TOTAL CITY INVESTMENT TO DATE	(G) TOTAL NEW CITY REVENUES - ACTUAL TO DATE	(H) INCENTIVE COST AS % REVENUES ACTUAL TO DATE	(I) EST. TOTAL CITY INVESTMENT OVER 20 YRS.	(J) EST. TOTAL NEW CITY REVENUES OVER 20 YEARS	(K) INCENTIVE COST AS % REVENUES 20 YEAR PROJ.	NOTES
5/97	Finova Headquarters Scottsdale/Highland	\$460,000	Up to \$460,000 in fee waivers (originally included potential additional \$2.24 mil. in investment for balance of Portales site, but owner has changed plans).	First phase done. Additional investment unlikely due to changed plans.	\$122,730	\$1,308,000	9.4%	\$122,730	\$1,958,000	6.3%	Finova originally created 350 jobs, but has since cut back. Building today houses about 500 total jobs.
6/97	Dial Corporation Headquarters – Dial Blvd. And Greenway – Hayden Loop	\$440,000	Maximum of \$44,000/year subject to City receipt of at least that much in new tax revenues. Maximum of 10 years	Opened 8/97 Expect full payoff 2007	\$253,687	\$335,000	75.7%	\$440,000	\$745,000	59.0%	Dial currently has about 600 employees in Scottsdale.
9/97	Surface Tek – Scts. Airpark	\$89,000	Up front payment from Econ. Stab. Fund to Water Fund, with a performance lien	Contract complete Project left Scottsdale 3/00; reimbursed City \$80,100	\$8,900	\$18,000	49.4%	\$8,900	\$18,000	49.4%	Agreement required reimbursement when they left City. Surface Tek originally had 35 positions.
12/03	Mayo Clinic Collaborative Research Bldg. – Shea/134 th St.	\$85,000	\$85,000 in fee waivers. City also made investment of up to \$3.0 mil. for building, but that investment is to be repaid with interest, so it is not included.	Project is under construction; est. opening 2005.	0	0	0	\$85,000	\$1,820,000	4.7%	An estimated 200 jobs will be located at this facility; should also serve as the catalyst for additional R& D development
	TOTALS:	\$1,074,000			\$385,317	\$1,661,000	23.2%	\$656,630	\$4,541,000	14.5%	•

Notes

- (A) Date of Council authorization of development agreement
- (C) Maximum reimbursement developer can receive the reimbursement may be lower due to infrastructure construction cost savings, but in no cases may it be higher than authorized.
- (D) Developer is typically reimbursed through a sharing of future tax revenues if revenues come in faster the developer is paid off sooner, but if less than expected full reimbursement may not occur.
- (E) When the contract was completed, or is projected to be completed.
- (F) The actual amount the City has paid to the project through June 2004.
- (G) Total new direct City revenues (actual General Fund sales, lease, and construction taxes, plus estimated property taxes) through June 2004, as provided by the City's Tax Audit Division.
- (H) Column F (actual payments made to date) divided into column G (actual revenues received to date).
- (I) The projected maximum amount the City will pay to the developers
- (J) Projections of total new direct City revenues (sales and property tax), extrapolated from current revenues over a 20 year period.
- (K) Column I (projected total payments assumes all contracts achieve full amount authorized) divided into column H (projected total revenues over 20 years).

ECONOMIC INVESTMENTS IN HISTORIC PRESERVATION PROJECTS

PURPOSE: The key purpose for investing in these projects is to help insure that historic facilities are preserved.

					ACTUAL TO DATE			20 Y			
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(\mathbf{G})	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	NOTES
		POTENTIAL	DEVELOPMENT	CURRENT	TOTAL CITY	TOTAL NEW	INCENTIVE COST	EST. TOTAL	EST. TOTAL NEW	INCENTIVE COST	
	PROJECT/	MAX. CITY	AGREEMENT	CONTRACT	INVESTMENT	CITY REVENUES -	AS % REVENUES	CITY INVESTMENT	CITY REVENUES	AS % REVENUES	
DATE	LOCATION	<u>INVESTMENT</u>	CONTRACT TERMS	STATUS	TO DATE	ACTUAL TO DATE	ACTUAL TO DATE	OVER 20 YRS.	OVER 20 YEARS	20 YEAR PROJ.	
11/03	Valley Ho Hotel –	\$2,500,000	Rebate of 70% of General	Renovations have	0	0	0	\$2,500,000	\$13,087,000	19.1%	Investment allowed
	68 th St./Indian		Fund sales tax revenues, up to	begun; estimated							owner to renovate
	School Rd.		\$2,500,000 or until 4/1/24.	opening fall 2005.							existing historic
			Valley Ho grants City a								facility, rather than
			Historic Preservation								raze entire site.
			Conservation Easement.								
	TOTALS:	\$2,500,000		<u> </u>	0	0	0	\$2,500,000	\$13,087,000	19.1%	

Notes

- (A) Date of Council authorization of development agreement
- (C) Maximum reimbursement developer can receive the reimbursement may be lower due to infrastructure construction cost savings, but in no cases may it be higher than authorized.
- (D) Developer is typically reimbursed through a sharing of future tax revenues if revenues come in faster the developer is paid off sooner, but if less than expected full reimbursement may not occur.
- (E) When the contract was completed, or is projected to be completed.
- (F) The actual amount the City has paid to the project through June 2004.
- (G) Total new direct City revenues (actual General Fund sales, lease, and construction taxes, plus estimated property taxes) through June 2004, as provided by the City's Tax Audit Division.
- (H) Column F (actual payments made to date) divided into column G (actual revenues received to date).
- (I) The projected maximum amount the City will pay to the developers
- (J) Projections of total new direct City revenues (sales and property tax), extrapolated from current revenues over a 20 year period.
- (K) Column I (projected total payments assumes all contracts achieve full amount authorized) divided into column H (projected total revenues over 20 years).